14.

(i) *He is said [has lied to Parliament].

(ii) He is said [to have lied to Parliament].

In sentence (14) (ii) , *he* has to be moved to spec-IP because the verb *have* is in the infinitival form ,thereby acquiring its nominative case. But sentence (14) (i) is ungrammatical because *he* has been moved from a position that already checks nominative case since the verb *have* is in the finite form. Therefore, greed does not require to move *he* in sentence (14) (i) to the subject position of the verb *say* ;and the **economy principle** makes that it cannot move into another nominative position. (192)