then the subject of *get arrested,* and finally subject of *may get arrested*, as shown below.



The above "derivation satisfies the **c-command condition on binding** by virtue of the fact that the rightmost trace is c-commanded (and bound) by the middle trace , which in turn is c-commanded (and bound) by the leftmost trace , which itself is c-commanded (and bound) by the the moved pronoun *he.* The derivation also satisfies the **shortest movement principle** by virtue of the fact that each movement of *he* takes it into the next -highest subject position in the structure. The **principle of greed** is also satisfied since *he* moves from (and through) a series of positions in which it cannot check its nominative case into its ultimate position as subject of *may* (where it can check its case against the *nominative* specifier-feature of *may)* Also, the grammaticality of this sentence indicates that the θ-criterion is satisfied: the passive participle *(i.e. arrested)* dethematizes it subject, *get* (being a